

## Econ 714: Handout 1 - Solution <sup>1</sup>

### 1 Mortensen-Pissarides model

Compared to Pissarides, job destruction rate is endogenous. Each job has productivity  $px$ , where  $x$  is idiosyncratic. New  $x$  arrives at Poisson rate  $\lambda$ , drawn from distribution  $G$  on  $[0, 1]$ . Initial draw is  $x = 1$ .

Value of a job is now  $J(x)$ . If  $J(x) \geq 0$  job kept, if  $J(x) < 0$  destroyed. Reservation productivity  $R$  such that  $J(R) = 0$ .

Job destruction rate:  $\lambda G(R)(1 - u)$ . Job creation:  $m(u, v) = \theta q(\theta)u$ , where  $\theta = v/u$  is market tightness. Unemployment flow:  $\dot{u} = \lambda G(R)(1 - u) - \theta q(\theta)u$

Steady state (Beveridge curve):

$$u = \frac{\lambda G(R)}{\lambda G(R) + \theta q(\theta)} \quad (\text{BC})$$

Value functions for the firm:

$$rV = -pc + q(\theta)(J(1) - V) \quad (\text{FV})$$

$$rJ(x) = px - w(x) + \lambda \left[ \int_R^1 J(s) dG(s) - J(x) \right] \quad (\text{FJ})$$

Value functions for the worker:

$$rU = z + \theta q(\theta)(W(1) - U) \quad (\text{WU})$$

$$rW(x) = w(x) + \lambda \left[ \int_R^1 W(s) dG(s) + G(R)U - W(x) \right] \quad (\text{WW})$$

Worker's share of surplus (Nash bargaining):

$$W(x) - U = \beta[W(x) - U + J(x) - V] \quad (\text{NB})$$

Zero profit:  $V = 0$ .

Exogenous variables:  $\lambda, G, m, p, c, z, r, \beta$ .

Endogenous variables:  $R, \theta, u, v, w, V, J, U, W$ .

#### 1.1 Solving the model

1. Wage equation:

$$w(x) = z(1 - \beta) + \beta p(x + c\theta) \quad (\text{w})$$

From (FV) and  $V = 0$ :

$$J(1) = \frac{pc}{q(\theta)}$$

---

<sup>1</sup>By Anton Babkin. This version: January 31, 2016.

Substitute into (NB) with  $x = 1$ :

$$W(1) - U = \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} \frac{pc}{q(\theta)}$$

Plug into (WU):

$$rU = z + \theta \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} pc$$

Multiply (WW) by  $1 - \beta$  and subtract (FJ) multiplied by  $\beta$ . Substitute out  $W(x)$  and  $J(x)$  using (NB) and get:

$$w(x) = \beta px + r(1 - \beta)U$$

Use previously found expression for  $rU$  to derive (w).

2. Job creation:

$$(1 - \beta) \frac{1 - R}{r + \lambda} = \frac{c}{q(\theta)} \quad (\text{JC})$$

Plug (w) into (FJ):

$$(r + \lambda)J(x) = (1 - \beta)(px - z) - \beta pc\theta + \lambda \int_R^1 J(s) dG(s) \quad (1)$$

Evaluate (1) at  $x = R$  and subtract resulting equation from (1), using  $J(R) = 0$ :

$$(r + \lambda)J(x) = p(1 - \beta)(x - R) \quad (2)$$

Evaluate at  $x = 1$  using  $J(1) = \frac{pc}{q(\theta)}$  and rearrange to get (JC).

3. Job destruction:

$$\frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} c\theta = R - z/p + \frac{\lambda}{r + \lambda} \int_R^1 (s - R) dG(s) \quad (\text{JD})$$

Use (2) to substitute  $J(s)$  under integral in (1):

$$(r + \lambda)J(x) = (1 - \beta)(px - z) - \beta pc\theta + \frac{\lambda}{r + \lambda} p(1 - \beta) \int_R^1 (s - R) dG(s)$$

Evaluate at  $x = R$  and divide by  $p(1 - \beta)$  to get (JD).

4. Solve (JC) and (JD) for  $R$  and  $\theta$ , then use (BC) to solve for  $u$  and  $v$ .

We can't derive closed form solutions, but can argue that solution is unique since (JC) is decreasing and (JD) is increasing in  $(\theta, R)$  space.

Graphs can be used to do comparative statics. For example, if  $p$  increases, (JD) curve shifts to the right, so  $R$  decreases,  $\theta$  increases. From (BC),  $u$  is decreasing and from definition of  $\theta$ ,  $v$  must increase.

## 2 Problem - McCall model<sup>2</sup>

Consider a variation on the basic sequential search model in which there is wage growth. Agents are risk neutral and seek to maximize:

$$E \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t y_t$$

where  $y_t$  is income in period  $t$ , which comes either from work or unemployment benefits, and  $0 < \beta < 1$ . Suppose that there are no separations and each unemployed worker is sure to receive an offer upon searching. If the wage offer is  $w$  in the first period, then the wage is  $w_t = \phi^t w$  after  $t$  periods on the job, where  $\phi > 1$  and  $\phi\beta < 1$ . The initial wage offer is drawn from a constant distribution  $F(w)$ . Unemployed workers earn a constant benefit of  $z$ .

1. Write down an unemployed worker's Bellman equation and characterize his optimal decision strategy.

Start with value of an employed worker with wage  $w$ :

$$W(w) = w + \beta\phi w + \beta^2\phi^2 w + \dots = \frac{w}{1 - \beta\phi}$$

Value of an unemployed:

$$U = z + \beta \int_0^{\infty} \max\left\{U, \frac{w}{1 - \beta\phi}\right\} dF(w)$$

Optimal decision is to accept if  $w > w_R$  and reject if  $w < w_R$ , where at  $w_R$  worker is indifferent:  $U = W(w_R) = \frac{w_R}{1 - \beta\phi}$ . Split integral in two parts:

$$\frac{w_R}{1 - \beta\phi} = z + \beta \int_0^{w_R} \frac{w_R}{1 - \beta\phi} dF(w) + \beta \int_{w_R}^{\infty} \frac{w}{1 - \beta\phi} dF(w)$$

Add and subtract  $\beta \int_{w_R}^{\infty} \frac{w_R}{1 - \beta\phi} dF(w)$  to the RHS:

$$\frac{w_R}{1 - \beta\phi} = z + \beta \frac{w_R}{1 - \beta\phi} + \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta\phi} \int_{w_R}^{\infty} (w - w_R) dF(w)$$

Rearrange and multiply by  $(1 - \beta\phi)$ :

$$(1 - \beta)w_R - z(1 - \beta\phi) = \int_{w_R}^{\infty} (w - w_R) dF(w) \quad (3)$$

We can't solve it explicitly, but can characterise solution by plotting LHS and RHS as functions of  $w_R$ . LHS is clearly increasing. To show that RHS is decreasing in  $w_R$ , we need a negative derivative.

---

<sup>2</sup>August 2012 macro prelim

We will use the Leibniz's rule:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( \int_{a(t)}^{b(t)} f(x, t) dx \right) = \int_{a(t)}^{b(t)} \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} dx + f(b(t), t) \cdot b'(t) - f(a(t), t) \cdot a'(t)$$

Applying to the RHS yields ( $w_R$  plays the role of  $t$ ,  $dF(w) \equiv f(w)dw$ ):

$$- \int_{w_R}^{\infty} dF(w) + \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} [(b - w_R)f(b) \cdot 0] - (w_R - w_R) \cdot 1 = -(1 - F(w_R)) < 0$$

2. Suppose that there are two economies  $i = 1, 2$  that differ in their wage growth rates, with  $\phi_1 > \phi_2$  (both  $\phi_i$  still satisfy  $1 < \phi_i < 1/\beta$ ). How do the decision strategies differ across economies?

From (3) it is clear that increase in  $\phi$  shifts the upward sloping LHS curve up, so solution  $w_R$  must be lower, i.e.  $w_{R1} < w_{R2}$ .

Intuitively, if wage grows faster once employed, it is better to start working earlier, so reservation wage is lower.